USAID's Office of Food for Peace (FFP) Indicators for Development Food Security Activities

Updated: September 9, 2017

Changes in FY16 and FY17

Changes since April 2017

Changes since August 2017

Key: Indicators classified as R are required for all development projects.

Indicators classified as RiA are required if applicable for all development projects that have relevant interventions. The relevant intervention has been specified in the applicability column in the table.

| No. | SPS Location | SPS ID no. | IndicatorTitle | R: Required RiA: Required if applicable | | Source | Who collects? | Frequency of collection? | Indicator Type | Disaggregation (For F indicators, only the disaggregates that are most revelant to FFP projects have been adopted) |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|---|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Goal: | Food and | nutri | ition security of vulnerable populations improve | ed and sus | tained | | | | | |
| ı | HL.9 | С | Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age | R | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | lmpact | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 2a | EG | a | Prevalence of Poverty: Percentage of people living on less than \$1.90/day | R | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 3a | EG | b | Depth of Poverty:The mean percentage shortfall relative to the \$1.90 poverty line | R | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child no Adults (CNA) |
| 86 | HL.9 | b | Prevalence of wasted children under five years of age | R | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | Sex: Male, Female |
| 6 | HL.9 | a | Prevalence of stunted children under five years of age | R | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 85 | n/a | | Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the households (FIES)* | R | All projects | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | First Level - Recall Period: 12 months, 30 days Second Level - Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 29 | n/a | | Average Household Dietary Diversity Score (HDDS) | R | All projects | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | None |
| Strat | egic Obje | ctive | I: Lives and livelihoods protected and enhanced | | | | | | | |
| 5 | EG-3 | a | Daily per capita expenditures (as a proxy for income) in USG-assisted areas | Context | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | lmpact | Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 7 | HL.9 | d | Prevalence of underweight women | RiA | Projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | lmpact | None |
| 82 | n/a | | Adaptive capacity index | RiA | Projects promoting interventions to strengthen capacities to better adapt to shocks | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |

| 83 | n/a | | Absorptive capacity index | RiA | Projects promoting interventions to strengthen absorptive capacities | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
|--------|-----------|-------|---|-----|--|-----|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--|
| Intern | nediate R | esult | I.I: Life-saving food and nutrition needs met | | | | | | • | |
| 51a | EG.3 | | Number of households benefiting directly from USG assistance under Food for Peace | R | All projects | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Location: Rural, Urban/Peri-urban Duration: New, Continuing |
| 57 | HL.9 | I | Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached with nutrition-specific interventions through USG-supported programs | RiA | Projects with a MCHN component working with children under five | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Intervention type: Children whose parents/caretakers received SBC that promote essential IYCF behaviors, received Vitamin A supplementation in the past 6 months, zinc supplementation during an episode of diarrhea, multiple micronutrient powder supplementation, treatment for SAM, treatment for MAM, direct fortified food assistance/specialized food products Sex: Male, Female |
| 69 | EG.3 | 3-a | Prevalence of women of reproductive age who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain and/or non-value chain commodities | RiA | Projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain and/or non-value chain commodities among women of reproductive age | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Commodity |
| 70 | EG.3 | 3-b | Prevalence of children 6-23 months who consume targeted nutrient-rich value chain and/or non-value chain commodities | RiA | Projects promoting consumption of nutrient-rich value chain and/or non-value chain commodities among children 6-23 months age | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Commodity Sex: Male, Female |
| Intern | nediate R | esult | 1.2: Nutrition and WASH practices improved | | | | | | | |
| 4 | HL.9 | I-d | Prevalance of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting increased dietary diversity among women | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
| 35 | HL.9 | I-a | Prevalence of children 6–23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet (MAD) | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting feeding children minimum acceptable diet | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 37 | HL.9 | I-b | Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting exclusive breastfeeding | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | <u>Sex:</u> Male, Female |
| 38 | 3.1.8 | 33 | Percentage of children under age five who had diarrhea in the prior two weeks | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 39 | 3.1.6.7 | I | Percentage of children under five years old with diarrhea treated with Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting ORT | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |

| 40 | 3.1.8.1 | I | Percentage of households using basic drinking water services | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required. | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
|----|---------|------|--|-----|--|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 41 | 3.1.8.2 | I | Percentage of households using a basic sanitation facility | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required. | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
| 42 | HL.8 | 2-5 | Percentage of households with soap and water at a handwashing station commonly used by family members | RiA | Applicable to projects promoting behavior change communication related to WASH | State | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
| 43 | HL.8 | 2-6 | Percentage of households in target areas practicing correct use of recommended household water treatment technologies | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting behaviors related to water treatment | State | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Technology type: Chlorination, Flocculant/Disinfectant, Filtration, Solar disinfection, Boiling |
| 44 | N/A | | Percent of households that can obtain drinking water in less than 30 minutes (round trip) | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions. For other projects, data will be collected but no targets required. | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
| 45 | N/A | | Percentage of households in target areas practicing open defecation | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting safe sanitation behaviors | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
| 54 | N/A | | Number of children under 2 (0-23 months old) participating in growth monitoring and promotion | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting growth promotion | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 55 | N/A | | Contraceptive Prevalence Rate (CPR) | RiA | Applicable for any projects promoting birth spacing/ family planning | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Method:Traditional, Modern |
| 75 | EG.3 | 3-10 | Percentage of female direct beneficaries of USG nutrition-sensitive agriculture activities consuming a diet of minimum diversity | Ria | Applicable for any projects with a nutrition-sensitive agriculture component | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Note: In addition to reporting the percent value, the number of female direct beneficiaries of the nutrition-sensitive agriculture activity should be reported. |
| 79 | HL.9 | | Number of children under two (0-23 months) reached with community-level nutrition interventions through USG-supported programs | RiA | Projects implementing community level nutrition interventions for children under two | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Sex: Male, female |

| 80 | HL.9 | 3 | Number of pregnant women reached with nutrition- specific interventions through USG-supported programs | RiA | Applicable for any projects with a MCHN component working with pregnant women | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Intervention type: Iron and folic acid supplementation, received counselling on maternal and child nutrition, calcium supplementation, multiple micronutrient supplementation, direct fortified food assistance/specialized food products Age: Below 19 years of age, 19 years or above |
|--------|-----------|------------|---|----------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| Intern | nediate R | esult | 1.3: Natural Resource and Environmental Risk l | Managem | ent Capacities increased | | | | | |
| 14 | N/A | | Percentage of farmers who used at least [a project-defined minimum number of] sustainable agriculture (crop, livestock, and/or NRM) practices and/or technologies in the past 12 months | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sustainable Agriculture Practice/Technology: Crop, Livestock, NRM Sex: Male, Female |
| 14a | N/A | | Number of farmers who used at least [a projectdefined minimum number of] sustainable crop, livestock and NRM practices and/or technologies | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting sustainable agriculture practices and/or technologies Note: Indicator also fall under IR 1.4 | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Sustainable Crop, Livestock, and NRM Practice and/or Technology disaggregated by Sex: Male, Female Minimum number of sustainable X practices and/or technologies Total number of direct beneficiaries participating in sustainable x practices and/or technologies |
| 15 | EG.3 | 2-18 | Number of hectares of land under improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting improved technologies or management practices | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Technology type: Crop genetics, Cultural practices, Pest management, Disease management, Soil-related fertility and conservation, Irrigation, Water management, Climate mitigation, Climate adaptation, Other; total w/one or more improved technology Sex: Male, Female, Joint, Association-applied |
| 31 | HA.2 | 1-1 | Number of people trained in disaster preparedness as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting EWR systems | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 77 | EG.II | 6 | Number of people using climate information or implementing risk-reducing actions to improve resilience to climate change as supported by USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects implementing risk reduction activities and/or promoting resilience to climate change | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| Intern | nediate R | esult | I.4: On and off-farm livelihood opportunities ar | d income | s expanded | | | | | |
| 8 | EG.3 | 6, 7, 8 | Farmer's gross margin per hectare, per animal or per cage obtained with USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities to increase farmer productivity | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Commodity (type of crop, type of animal or animal product, or type of fish—freshwater or marine) Sex of farmer: Male, Female, Joint, Associationapplied |

| | | | - | | | T | | | | | First level - Value chain actor type: Producers, |
|----|----|--------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|--------------------------|----------|---------|--|
| 9a | EG | 5.3 2 | | Number of farmers and others who have applied improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting improved technologies or management practices | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Others Second level - Technology type: Crop genetics, Cultural practices, Livestock management, Wild fishing technique/gear, Aquaculture management, Pest management, Disease management, Soil-related fertility and conservation, Irrigation, Water management-non-irrigation based, Climate mitigation, Climate adaptation, Marketing and distribution, Post-harvest—handling & storage, Value- added processing, Other; Total w/one or more improved technology/practice. Sex: Male, Female |
| 10 | EG | i.3 2 | -20 | Number of for profit private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied improved organizational-level technologies or management practices with USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting improved technologies or management practices collectively as an organization, enterprise, group or association | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing |
| Ha | EG | 6.3 2 | -1 | Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | First level - Type of individual: Producers, People in government, People in private sector firms, People in civil society Second level - Sex: Male, Female |
| 12 | EG | 6.3 2· | -4 | Number of for-profit private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) receiving USG food security related organizational development assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects assisting organizations, enterprises, groups and associations to achieve objectives collectively | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing |
| 16 | EG | 6.3 2 | -19 | Value of small-holder incremental sales generated with USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities to increase farmer productivity | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Commodity |
| 27 | N | /A | | Number of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project | RiA | Applicable for projects implementing value chain activities for selected commodities | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Value Chain Stages: Use of improved inputs, post- harvest handling, value-added processing, marketing/trading Sex: Male, Female |

| 81 | N/A | | Yield of targeted agricultural commodities among program participants with USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects implementing activities to increase agricultural productivity | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Commodity Sex: Male, Female, Joint, Association-applied |
|--------|------------|-------|--|-----|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--|
| Strate | egic Objec | ctive | 2: Communities and institutions transformed | | | | | | | |
| 84 | N/A | | Transformative capacity index | RiA | Projects promoting interventions to strengthen capacities to make transformational change | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |
| Interr | nediate R | esult | s 2.1: Social protection systems strengthened | | | | | | | |
| 32 | 3.3.3 | 9 | Number of people benefiting from USG-supported social assistance programming | RiA | Applicable for all projects providing cash, food, or other in-kind assistance | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 33 | ES | 5-1 | Number of USG social assistance beneficiaries participating in productive safety nets | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting conditional safety nets | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Type of Asset strengthened: Community assets, Human assets/capital, Household assets Duration: New, Continuing Sex: Male, Female |
| Interr | nediate R | esult | 2.2 Nutrition and health systems strengthened | | | | | | | |
| 47 | HL.8 | 1-1 | Number of people gaining access to basic drinking water services as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Location</u> : Rural, Urban <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 48 | HL.8 | 2-2 | Number of people gaining access to a basic sanitation service as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure-related WASH interventions | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Location</u> : Rural, Urban <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 50 | HL.8 | 2 | Number of communities verified as "open defecation free" (ODF) as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting open defecation free communities | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | None |
| 52 | N/A | | Percentage of births receiving at least 4 antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy | RiA | Applicable for projects implementing health, nutrition and/or family planning activities targeting women of reproductive health and/or children 6 months and under. | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None |

| 53 | N/A | | Number of live births receiving at least four antenatal care (ANC) visits during pregnancy | RiA | Applicable for projects implementing health, nutrition and/or family planning activities targeting women of reproductive health and/or children 6 months and under. | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | None |
|-------|-----------|-------|---|-----------|---|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 76 | HL.8 | 1-4 | Number of institutional settings gaining access to basic drinking water services due to USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting infrastructure- related WASH interventions | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Institution Type: Schools, Health facilities |
| 78 | HL.9 | 4 | Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through USG-supported programs | RiA | Applicable for projects with a MCHN component | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Sex: Male, female <u>Training type:</u> Non-degree seeking, degree seeking |
| Inter | nediate R | esult | 2.3: Natural Resource and Environmental risk | 1anageme | ent systems strenthened | | | | | |
| Inter | nediate R | esult | 2.4: Agricultural, market and financial systems | trengther | | | | I= | | |
| 17 | N/A | | Percentage of farmers who used improved storage practices in the past 12 months | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting improved storage practices | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 19 | EG.3 | 1-1 | Kilometers of roads improved or constructed as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects constructing or improving roads | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Construction Type: Improved, Constructed (new) |
| 20 | N/A | | Number of market infrastructures rehabilitated and/or constructed | RiA | Applicable for projects rehabilitating and/or constructing market infrastructures | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Infrastructure Status: rehabilitated, constructed Number of vendors using the infrastructure: Less than 5, 6 to 10, and 11 or more |
| 21 | N/A | | Percentage of farmers who used financial services (savings, agricultural credit, and/or agricultural insurance) in the past 12 months | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting increased use of financial services | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 22 | N/A | | Percentage of farmers who practiced the value chain activities promoted by the project in the past 12 months | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting value chain activities for selected commodities | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | <u>Sex</u> : Male, Female |
| 23 | EG.3 | 2-6 | Value of Agricultural and Rural Loans as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting increased access to credit through financial institutions | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Type of loan recipient: Producers, Local traders/assemblers, Wholesalers/processors, Others Sex of recipient: Male, Female, Joint, N/A |
| 24 | EG.3 | 2-3 | Number of micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including farmers, receiving agricultural-related credit as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects facilitating MSMEs' access to loans from formal or informal financial institutions | FTF | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Size: Micro (1-10 employees), Small (11-50 employees), Medium (51-100 employees) Sex of owner/producer: Male, Female, Joint, N/A |

| 26 | N/A | | Number of micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including farmers, accessing savings programs with FFP assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects facilitating MSMEs' access to savings | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Size: Micro (1-10 employees), Small (11-50 employees), Medium (51-100 employees) Sex of owner/producer: Male, Female, Joint, N/A |
|-------|-----------|-------|--|-----------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| Cross | Cutting I | nterr | mediate Result I: Gender equity and youth oppo | rtunities | increased | | ı | T | 1 | |
| 60 | GNDR | 2 | Percentage of participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income or employment) who are female | R | All projects | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Age</u> : 10-29 yrs, 30 and over |
| 61 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men and women who earned cash in the past 12 months | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 62 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions alone about the use of self-earned cash | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 63 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men/women in union and earning cash who make decisions jointly with spouse/partner about the use of self-earned cash | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting agriculture and/or livelihoods interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 64 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men and women with children under two who have knowledge of maternal and child health and nutrition (MCHN) practices | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female |
| 65 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions alone | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female Note: Separate indicator for male and female. |
| 66 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make maternal health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female Note: Separate indicator for male and female. |
| 67 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions alone | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female Note: Separate indicator for male and female. |
| 68 | n/a | n/a | Percentage of men/women in union with children under two who make child health and nutrition decisions jointly with spouse/partner | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting maternal-child health and nutrition interventions | FFP | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | Sex: Male, Female Note: Separate indicator for male and female. |
| INDIC | CATORS | APPL | ICABLE FOR PROJECTS AWARDED ON OR E | BEFORE F | Y 2014, AND ARCHIVED | IN 201 | 6 | | | |
| 13 | 4.5.2 | 34 | Number of people implementing risk-reducing practices/actions to improve resilience to climate change as a result of USG assistance | RiA | Applicable for projects implementing risk reduction activities and/ or promoting resilience to climate change | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | Type of Risk reducing practice: -Agriculture risk-reducing practices/actions -Water risk-reducing practices/actions -Health risk-reducing practices/actions -Disaster risk-reducing (DRR) practices/actions -Urban risk-reducing practices/actions -Other risk-reducing practices/actions Sex: Male, Female |

| 18 | 4.5 | 10 | Total increase in installed storage capacity (m3) | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting construction or rehabilitation of storage space | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Storage Type: Dry, Cold |
|----|---------|-----|--|-----|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---|
| 25 | 4.5.2 | 37 | Number of micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including farmers, receiving business development services from USG-assisted sources | RiA | Applicable for projects providing business development services to MSMEs | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Size: Micro (1-10 employees), Small (11-50 employees), Medium (51-100 employees) MSME Type: Agricultural producer, Input supplier, Trader, Output processors, Non-agriculture, Other Sex of owner/producer: Male, Female, Joint, N/A |
| 28 | HL.9 | e | Prevalence of households with moderate or severe hunger (Household Hunger Scale - HHS) | R | All projects | FTF and State | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 30 | N/A | | Number of communities with disaster early warning and response (EWR) systems working effectively | RiA | Applicable for all projects promoting community based EWR systems | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | None |
| 34 | 4.5.2 | 14 | Number of vulnerable households benefiting directly from USG assistance | R | All projects | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Duration:</u> New, Continuing <u>Gendered Household Type</u> : Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 51 | 4.5.2 | 13 | Number of rural households benefiting directly from USG interventions | R | All projects | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | <u>Duration:</u> New, Continuing <u>Gendered Household Type</u> : Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 46 | N/A | | Percent of physically improved sanitation facilities with feces visibly present on the floor, wall, or area immediately surrounding the facility | RiA | Applicable for projects promoting safe santiation behaviors | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | None |
| 49 | 3.1.8.2 | 3 | Number of improved toilets provided in institutional settings | RiA | Applicable for projects providing toilets in institutional settings | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | By Type of Institution: School, Health Facility |
| 56 | 3.1.9 | I | Number of people trained in child health and nutrition through USG-supported programs | RiA | Applicable for any projects with a MCHN component | FIF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Sex: Male, Female |
| 58 | 3.1.9.2 | 3 | Number of children under five years of age who received vitamin A from USG-supported programs | RiA | Applicable for any projects facilitating vitamin A distribution | FTF and State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | Sex: Male, Female |
| 2 | 4 | 17 | Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than \$1.25/day | R | All projects | FTF and State | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child No Adults (CNA) |
| 3 | 4 | TBD | Depth of Poverty:The mean percent shortfall relative to the \$1.25 poverty line | R | All projects | FTF | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | Gendered Household Type: Adult Female no Adult Male (FNM), Adult Male no Adult Female (MNF), Male and Female Adults (M&F), Child no Adults (CNA) |

| INDI | NDICATORS APPLICABLE FOR PROJECTS AWARDED ON OR BEFORE FY 2013, AND ARCHIVED IN 2015 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-----|--|-----|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------|--|--|
| 36 | HL.9 | I-c | Women's Dietary Diversity Score: Mean number of food groups consumed by women of reproductive age (WDDS) | RiA | Applicable for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that collected this indicator during the baseline survey | FTF and State | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Outcome | None | | |
| 59 | 3.1.7.1 | 4 | Number of additional USG-assisted community health workers (CHWs) providing family planning (FP) information and/or services during the year | RiA | Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator | State | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | None | | |
| 71 | EG.3 | -b | Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index | | Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 that collected this indicator during the baseline survey | FTF and State | Third-party survey firm | Baseline and final evaluation | Impact | None | | |
| 72 | N/A | | Percent of cases of acute malnutrition in children under 5 (6–59) months) detected who are referred for treatment | | Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 that collected this indicator during the baseline survey | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | None | | |
| 73 | N/A | | Percent of villages in catchment area that hold to regular maintenance schedules for sanitation facilities | RiA | Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Outcome | None | | |
| 74 | N/A | | Number of women receiving postpartum family planning counseling | RiA | Applicable only for projects awarded on or before FY 2013 and that are already collecting and reporting on this indicator | FFP | Implementing Partners | Annually | Output | None | | |